

The environment of Tycho: possible interaction with molecular cloud

Jae-Joon Lee and Bon-Chul Koo

Astronomy program, SEES, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

`jjlee@astro.snu.ac.kr, koo@astrohi.snu.ac.kr`

and

Kenichi Tatematsu

National Astronomy Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo, 181-8588, Japan

`k.tatematsu@nao.ac.jp`

ABSTRACT

The Tycho supernova remnant (SNR), as one of the few historical SNRs, has been widely studied in various wavebands. Observations show evidence that Tycho is expanding in a medium with density gradient and possibly interacting with dense ambient medium toward the northeast direction. From the FCRAO CO survey of the outer Galaxy, we have identified a patch of molecular clouds in this area and have conducted a follow-up observation with Nobeyama 45m radio telescope. The high-resolution ($16''$) Nobeyama data shows that a large molecular cloud surrounds the SNR along the northeastern boundary. We suggest that the Tycho SNR and the molecular cloud are located in the Perseus arm and that the dense medium interacting with the SNR is possibly the molecular cloud. We also discuss the possible connection between the molecular cloud and the Balmer-dominated optical filaments, and suggest that the preshock gas may be accelerated within the cosmic ray and/or fast neutral precursor.

Subject headings: supernova remnants – ISM: individual (G120.1+1.4) – ISM: molecules

1. INTRODUCTION

The Tycho supernova remnant (SNR) is known as a remnant of a Type Ia supernova that occurred in the year 1572 (Baade 1945). As one of the few historical SNRs, the remnant has been widely studied in various wavebands. In radio continuum, it shows a shell

morphology with clearly defined outer boundary and clumpy inner structure (e.g., Dickel et al. 1991). Recent high resolution X-ray images also exhibit similar features (Decourchelle et al. 2001; Hwang et al. 2002). Chevalier et al. (1980) derived a shock velocity by modelling the optical spectrum from a fast nonradiative shock and compared it with an optical proper motion (Kamper & van den Bergh 1978), giving an estimated distance of 2.3 ± 0.5 kpc. Other independent estimates give similar distances (Albinson et al. 1986; Strom 1988). It is, however, noted that there has been controversy in interpreting the H I absorption data, giving a different distance (e.g., Schwarz et al. 1995). This will be discussed in § 3.1.

Observations show evidence that Tycho is interacting with ambient dense clouds toward its northeast (NE) direction. Reynoso et al. (1997) has studied its expansion using a 10-year-lap VLA data and found that the NE area, which is farthest from the geometrical center of the SNR, is currently moving slowest, suggesting that the remnant is expanding into a higher density medium. Reynoso et al. (1999) has conducted a H I absorption study towards the remnant and suggested that Tycho is currently interacting with a dense H I concentration in the NE ($v_{\text{LSR}} = -51.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) which locally slows down the expansion of the shock front. There are other observations that also suggest its interaction with inhomogeneous environment (Velazquez et al. 1998; Katz-Stone et al. 2000; Douvion et al. 2001).

From the FCRAO ^{12}CO survey of the outer Galaxy (Heyer et al. 1998), we have identified molecular clouds which could be associated with Tycho (Figure 1). They are arc-shaped and the inner boundary roughly traces the NE rim of Tycho. The morphology of the molecular clouds suggests physical association with Tycho. We have conducted high-resolution ^{12}CO J=1–0 line observations and present the results in this *Letter*.

2. OBSERVATION AND RESULT

The observation was carried out for a total of 20 hours during 2003 January 11–13 using the Nobeyama 45m radio telescope. The BEARS focal-plane receiver system was used to cover $12' \times 12'$ area centered at Tycho whose diameter is $\sim 8'$. HPBW of the 45m telescope at ^{12}CO (J=1-0) is about $16''$ and we have mapped the region with a $8''$ grid spacing. Pointing accuracy was quite good during the observing run and we estimate it to be better than $5''$. Velocity resolution of 0.08 km s^{-1} was achieved using 1024 channel autocorrelator as a backend. The data were calibrated using the standard procedure with *NewStar* software developed by NAOJ. Exposure time is not uniform, leading to varying noises. The NE portion of the image has the most significant S/N ($\Delta T_{\text{rms}} \sim 0.1 \text{ K}$) while the inner part of the remnant has a poorer S/N ratio ($\Delta T_{\text{rms}} = 0.3 \text{ K}$).

In Figure 2, we present channel maps in gray scale together with the radio continuum showing the boundary of Tycho. There is virtually no ^{12}CO emission at $v_{\text{LSR}} > -55 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (except from the gas in the local arm) and most of the emission is between $-67 \text{ km s}^{-1} < v_{\text{LSR}} < -60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with some faint emission localized in the northern part of the remnant at $v_{\text{LSR}} = -58 \sim -55 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The emission is generally from regions surrounding the remnant. In particular, at velocities between -63.5 to -61.5 km s^{-1} , the emitting area appears to be in contact with the remnant along its NE boundary. This is more clearly seen in Figure 3, the integrated intensity map over $v_{\text{LSR}} = -63 \sim -60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In particular, at the location of optical knot g where the radio continuum boundary is deformed and the radio expansion is slowest, molecular clumps are touching the radio boundary.

3. DISCUSSION

The ambient environment of Tycho is not homogeneous as clearly revealed by the slower expansion of the NE rim. The morphology of the molecular cloud suggests their physical interaction. We discuss their physical association in the following sections.

3.1. Distance to the Tycho SNR and the Molecular Cloud

The distance to Tycho has been estimated by several authors using independent methods (Kamper & van den Bergh 1978; Albinson et al. 1986; Strom 1988). Most estimates agree on a distance of $\sim 2.3 \text{ kpc}$ except for the estimate based on H I absorption observations. Applying the H I absorption technique to Tycho is not straightforward due to the non-circular motion of the gas in the Perseus arm. The peculiar velocity field of the Perseus arm is well known, e.g., radial velocities of H II regions and OB stars in the Perseus arm are lower than those derived from photometric/spectroscopic distances (see Schwarz et al. 1995, and reference therein). The widely accepted model is the “two armed spiral shock” (TASS) model proposed by Roberts (1972). In this model, the Galactic spiral pattern is visualized by a large scale shock residing in the Galactic density wave. Multi-value velocity-distance relation is naturally expected in this scenario, and, toward Tycho ($\ell = 120^\circ$), the radial velocity is expected to drop abruptly from -20 km s^{-1} to $-50 (\pm 8) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at the shock front at 2.2 kpc and slowly increase to -40 km s^{-1} at 2.8 kpc , after which it slowly decreases (see Figure 14 of Schwarz et al. 1995).

The velocity of the most negative absorption feature towards Tycho is around -50 km s^{-1} with weak absorption at -59 km s^{-1} . Albinson et al. (1986) attributed the absorption to

the gas behind the spiral shock and placed Tycho just behind the large scale spiral shock, at a distance of $2.2_{-0.5}^{+1.5}$ kpc. They noted that there is little absorption between -45 and -38 km s $^{-1}$ which was considered as evidence for Tycho not being far beyond the spiral shock front. On the other hand, Schwarz et al. (1995) has argued that it should be placed at a distance of 4.6 ± 0.5 kpc where almost circular rotation is applicable. One of their arguments was that the velocity of the most negative absorption feature (-59 km s $^{-1}$) is too low compared to the model-predicted shock velocity (-50 km s $^{-1}$). The velocity structure in the Perseus arm, however, is rather highly dependent on the Galactic latitude. This trend can be clearly seen from the recent FCRAO CO survey of the outer Galaxy (Heyer et al. 1998) and DRAO low resolution H I survey (Higgs & Tapping 2000). The peak velocity of ^{12}CO associated with Perseus arm in the region of $l \sim 120^\circ$ is around ~ -50 km s $^{-1}$ at $b \sim 0^\circ$, but systematically decreases to ~ -60 km s $^{-1}$ at $b \sim 1^\circ$ and further decreases for higher latitudes. If these ^{12}CO molecules are associated with the high density regime behind the spiral shock front, as is likely to, the shock velocity itself should have a similar trend, although it is not explicitly accounted for in the TASS model. H I data can be described in a similar way. Therefore, if we account the b -dependent behavior of the velocity field, the absorption velocity could be as low as -60 km s $^{-1}$ even if Tycho is just behind the shock front, and we consider that the H I absorption experiments are consistent with Tycho being at the near side of the Perseus arm.

The velocity of the CO molecular cloud in the NE area of Tycho is ~ -62 km/s, which is slightly lower than the minimum velocity of the H I absorption feature. The cloud, and other clouds in Figure 2, however, seem to be part of a large-scale distribution of molecular gas presumably representing the high-density ridge behind the spiral shock. The CO emission between $\ell = 119^\circ$ and 121° is generally confined within a velocity interval of -66 and -60 km s $^{-1}$. This is much narrower than that of the H I gas ($-70 \sim -45$ km s $^{-1}$), whose peak velocity is also blue-shifted from the peak of ^{12}CO . These different characteristics of ^{12}CO and H I can be explained within the frame of TASS model where ^{12}CO clouds mostly correspond to the high-density region just behind the spiral shock. We have compared the $l - v$ distribution of ^{12}CO around $b \sim 0^\circ$ with the theoretical prediction (shock ridge) and found them to be well matched. Hence, it is likely that the distances to the Tycho SNR and to the molecular clouds surrounding it are comparable.

3.2. Non-radiative H α filaments

Optical filaments have been found in Tycho (Smith et al. 1991). The filaments are located along the NE boundary of the remnant, where interaction with a molecular cloud is

likely (Figure 3). The emission is predominantly hydrogen Balmer emission and is believed to originate from a collisionless nonradiative shock, characterized by broad and narrow emission components whose velocity distribution represent that of postshock and preshock gas, respectively (Chevalier & Raymond 1978; Chevalier et al. 1980). The expansion velocities of these filaments, which are compatible with radio expansion rate at this region ($\sim 0.15''/\text{yr}$, Reynoso et al. 1997), is relatively small ($\sim 30\%$) compared to the other parts of the remnant. The implied large preshock density suggests its association with the molecular cloud. Ghavamian et al. (2000) obtained high-resolution echelle spectrum of knot g (Figure 3) and their observed central velocity is $v_{\text{LSR}} = -53.9 \pm 1.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. For comparison, most of the ^{12}CO emission around Tycho is between -67 and -60 km s^{-1} , and the ^{12}CO line profile near knot g, fitted with Gaussian, gives a line center of $-62.50 \pm 0.01 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a FWHM of $2.27 \pm 0.03 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Therefore, there is a non-negligible velocity difference of $8.6 \pm 1.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ between the H α filament and the ^{12}CO cloud. Even if we consider the FWHM of ^{12}CO as a possible error, the difference is greater than 6 km s^{-1} which is significant. The velocity of the narrow H α component of a non-radiative shock should represent that of the gas entering the shock. This implies two possibilities. One is that the gases responsible for ^{12}CO and H α have initially different velocities. This could be due to a turbulent motion behind the spiral shock or some kinematical effects associated with the evolution of the progenitor star or the SN explosion itself. But these seem rather unlikely because there is virtually no ^{12}CO emission above -60 km s^{-1} and the actual velocity difference considering a projection could be much larger (see next section). The remaining possibility is that the gas experiences an acceleration before the excitation by the shock. We further discuss about it in the following.

A handful of remnants show non-radiative shock emission like Tycho (Smith et al. 1991, 1994; Ghavamian et al. 2001; Sollerman et al. 2003). The width of the narrow line has been a puzzle since it is unusually large for neutral hydrogen and does not vary much from remnant to remnant. For most of the remnants, it ranges between 30 and 50 km s^{-1} , while SN 1006, which is the fastest ($\sim 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), has a slightly smaller value of about 20 km s^{-1} . Various physical mechanisms have been proposed to explain this line width (Smith et al. 1994). Although the CR precursor and/or fast neutral precursor scenario has been regarded as the most likely case (Smith et al. 1994; Ghavamian et al. 2000), firm evidence is yet to be found. Some of the proposed mechanisms, which include a interaction with heavy particles (like protons), also predict a systematic acceleration of the gas before their excitation. We propose that the velocity difference between H α and ^{12}CO can be explained by this gas acceleration. Observed peak velocity of the broad H α component is red-shifted by $132 \pm 35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from that of the narrow one, indicating that the shock is not completely edge on (Ghavamian et al. 2001). With a shock velocity $v_s = 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (fluid velocity $\sim \frac{3}{4}v_s$), viewing angle is estimated to be about $\theta \sim 5^\circ$. If the gas is accelerated, the H α narrow component will

be red-shifted from the unperturbed medium, which is qualitatively consistent with our interpretation where ^{12}CO typifies the velocity of the unperturbed medium. The observed velocity difference of $8.6 \pm 1.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in v_{LSR} then implies that the actual acceleration is about $\Delta v = 98 \pm 30 (v_s / 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. One possibility to explain the velocity difference is a momentum transfer by collisions with fast protons after crossing the shock. But, as Smith et al. (1994) has showed, a lifetime of the hydrogen atom is very short and only a moderate amount of acceleration ($\lesssim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) is possible. We consider two preshock mechanisms which might account for this acceleration.

Broadening of the narrow component is well explained by a CR precursor (Smith et al. 1994) and acceleration of the preshock gas is also theoretically well expected (see Blandford & Eichler 1987). For a CR dominated shock, it is suggested that the preshock gas can be accelerated to the significant fraction of the shock velocity. Boulares & Cox (1988) has applied this shock model to the Balmer-dominated filaments in Cygnus loop. According to their model, the preshock gas is accelerated to velocities close to the shock velocity. For the case of Tycho, the smaller amount of acceleration, compared to the shock velocity, indicates that the cosmic ray contribution is not dominant. This is consistent with recent X-ray results (Hwang et al. 2002) where no significant temperature drop due to a possible cosmic ray acceleration is observed. The radio spectral index ($S_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$) of Tycho is known to be steeper ($\alpha \sim 0.6$ at $\nu \geq 1 \text{ GHz}$) than what is expected from a test particle theory (0.5), and it is suggested that Tycho may have somewhat strongly modified shock (Reynolds & Ellison 1992; Völk et al. 2002). But, the spectral index varies over the remnant (Katz-Stone et al. 2000) and knot g region (filament I in Katz-Stone et al.) has the flattest spectral index of 0.44 ± 0.02 , which might be another indication for the interaction with molecular clouds (Koo et al. 2001). Although Tycho may have strongly modified shock in a large scale, it does not seem to apply to areas interacting with molecular clouds.

There has been little theoretical study on the fast neutral precursor compared to the cosmic ray precursor. Lim & Raga (1996) calculated the $\text{H}\alpha$ profile from a 225 km s^{-1} non-radiative shock with a fast neutral precursor, but due to their narrow parameter range, direct comparison with our observation is not available and there is no indication of the velocity shift of the narrow component in their line profiles. Calculations for higher shock velocity and lower pre-ionization fraction is necessary.

4. CONCLUSION

The study of Balmer-dominated filaments in SNRs has given us much information about the shock structure. The velocity of an unperturbed preshock medium is an invaluable

observational ingredient for fully understanding underlying shock structure. In Tycho, a velocity shift between an ambient molecular cloud, which is believed to be the unperturbed preshock gas, and the $H\alpha$ filaments has been observed. Although the preshock acceleration was searched for previously, no evidence has been obtained before our observation (e.g. Ellison et al. 1994). Our observation serves as the first direct evidence for the acceleration of preshock gas by a cosmic ray (or fast neutral) precursor. We, however, note that our conclusion is based on the single velocity measurement and more observations are needed to test it.

There has been not much study on the structure of collisionless shocks propagating into molecular clouds. But most of the volume of a molecular cloud may be occupied by low density ($\lesssim 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) interclump medium, and the structure would not much differ from that in atomic medium. The molecules are supposed to be dissociated within a precursor either by radiation from postshock region or hot electrons. The dissociation rate of H_2 is only slightly larger than ionization rate of the hydrogen atom (Yan et al. 1998; Le Teuff et al. 2000), and complete dissociation of H_2 may not happen since they occupy more dense region. The velocity of the transmitted shock into a molecular clump can be sufficiently low to be radiative, but currently there is no sign of radiative shock in Tycho, presumably because the shock is propagating into the low-density outskirts of the molecular cloud. Future study should address these issues together with the nature of cosmic ray acceleration for a high-velocity ($\gtrsim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) shock propagating into a molecular cloud.

We would like to thank Parviz Ghavamian for kindly providing the $H\alpha$ data and useful comments. We thank John Raymond, Hyesung Kang, Christopher McKee and Gloria Dubner for helpful informations and comments. We also thank to the anonymous referee for valuable comments, which helped us to improve this paper. This work was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (ABRL 3345-20031017). J.J.L. has been supported in part by the BK 21 program.

REFERENCES

- Albinson, J. S., Tuffs, R. J., Swinbank, E., & Gull, S. F. 1986, *MNRAS*, 219, 427
- Baade, W. 1945, *ApJ*, 102, 309
- Blandford, R. & Eichler, D. 1987, *Phys. Rep.*, 154, 1
- Boulares, A. & Cox, D. P. 1988, *ApJ*, 333, 198
- Chevalier, R. A., Kirshner, R. P., & Raymond, J. C. 1980, *ApJ*, 235, 186

- Chevalier, R. A. & Raymond, J. C. 1978, *ApJ*, 225, L27
- Decourchelle, A., Sauvageot, J. L., Audard, M., Aschenbach, B., Sembay, S., Rothenflug, R., Ballet, J., Stadlbauer, T., & West, R. G. 2001, *A&A*, 365, L218
- Dickel, J. R., van Breugel, W. J. M., & Strom, R. G. 1991, *AJ*, 101, 2151
- Douvion, T., Lagage, P. O., Cesarsky, C. J., & Dwek, E. 2001, *A&A*, 373, 281
- Ellison, D. C., Reynolds, S. P., Borkowski, K., Chevalier, R., Cox, D. P., Dickel, J. R., Pisarski, R., Raymond, J., Spangler, S. R., Volk, H. J., & Wefel, J. P. 1994, *PASP*, 106, 780
- Ghavamian, P., Raymond, J., Hartigan, P., & Blair, W. P. 2000, *ApJ*, 535, 266
- Ghavamian, P., Raymond, J., Smith, R. C., & Hartigan, P. 2001, *ApJ*, 547, 995
- Heyer, M. H., Brunt, C., Snell, R. L., Howe, J. E., Schloerb, F. P., & Carpenter, J. M. 1998, *ApJS*, 115, 241
- Higgs, L. A. & Tapping, K. F. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 2471
- Hwang, U., Decourchelle, A., Holt, S. S., & Petre, R. 2002, *ApJ*, 581, 1101
- Kamper, K. W. & van den Bergh, S. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 851
- Katz-Stone, D. M., Kassim, N. E., Lazio, T. J. W., & O'Donnell, R. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, 453
- Koo, B., Rho, J., Reach, W. T., Jung, J., & Mangum, J. G. 2001, *ApJ*, 552, 175
- Le Teuff, Y. H., Millar, T. J., & Markwick, A. J. 2000, *A&AS*, 146, 157
- Lim, A. J. & Raga, A. C. 1996, *MNRAS*, 280, 103
- Reynolds, S. P. & Ellison, D. C. 1992, *ApJ*, 399, L75
- Reynosos, E. M., Moffett, D. A., Goss, W. M., Dubner, G. M., Dickel, J. R., Reynolds, S. P., & Giacani, E. B. 1997, *ApJ*, 491, 816
- Reynosos, E. M., Velázquez, P. F., Dubner, G. M., & Goss, W. M. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 1827
- Roberts, W. W. 1972, *ApJ*, 173, 259
- Schwarz, U. J., Goss, W. M., Kalberla, P. M., & Benaglia, P. 1995, *A&A*, 299, 193
- Smith, R. C., Kirshner, R. P., Blair, W. P., & Winkler, P. F. 1991, *ApJ*, 375, 652

- Smith, R. C., Raymond, J. C., & Laming, J. M. 1994, *ApJ*, 420, 286
- Sollerman, J., Ghavamian, P., Lundqvist, P., & Smith, R. C. 2003, *A&A*, 407, 249
- Strom, R. G. 1988, *MNRAS*, 230, 331
- Völk, H. J., Berezhko, E. G., Ksenofontov, L. T., & Rowell, G. P. 2002, *A&A*, 396, 649
- Velazquez, P. F., Gomez, D. O., Dubner, G. M., de Castro, G. G., & Costa, A. 1998, *A&A*, 334, 1060
- Yan, M., Sadeghpour, H. R., & Dalgarno, A. 1998, *ApJ*, 496, 1044

Fig. 1.— Integrated channel map of ^{12}CO from FCRAO data ($-68 \leq v \leq -59 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). White contour for 1420 MHz radio continuum. Black boundary represents Nobeyama observed region.

Fig. 2.— ^{12}CO channel map of Nobeyama observation with $\Delta v = 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Contour represents 1420 MHz radio continuum. The last panel shows average spectrum of total observed region. The peak brightness temperature of the average spectrum is 0.83 K.

Fig. 3.— Pseudo color image of Integrated channel map ($-63 \leq v \leq -60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). White contour for 1420 MHz radio continuum, red for $\text{H}\alpha$ (Ghavamian et al. 2000), and blue for hard X-ray ($4 \text{ keV} < h\nu < 6 \text{ keV}$).

This figure "f1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0403274v1>

This figure "f2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0403274v1>

This figure "f3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0403274v1>